

# THE ELECTION OF 1860 ANSWER KEY

**THE ELECTION OF 1860 ANSWER KEY** PROVIDES A DETAILED EXPLORATION OF ONE OF THE MOST PIVOTAL MOMENTS IN AMERICAN POLITICAL HISTORY. THIS ARTICLE DELVES INTO THE CANDIDATES, POLITICAL PARTIES, AND KEY ISSUES THAT SHAPED THE ELECTION, HIGHLIGHTING ITS PROFOUND IMPACT ON THE UNITED STATES. THE ELECTION OF 1860 WAS MARKED BY INTENSE SECTIONAL DIVISIONS, PRIMARILY CENTERED ON SLAVERY AND STATES' RIGHTS, WHICH ULTIMATELY LED TO THE OUTBREAK OF THE CIVIL WAR. UNDERSTANDING THE ELECTION RESULTS, ELECTORAL STRATEGIES, AND THE AFTERMATH IS CRUCIAL FOR COMPREHENDING HOW THIS EVENT INFLUENCED THE NATION'S TRAJECTORY. THIS COMPREHENSIVE GUIDE ALSO INCLUDES AN ANALYSIS OF VOTING PATTERNS, THE ROLE OF THIRD PARTIES, AND THE SIGNIFICANCE OF ABRAHAM LINCOLN'S VICTORY. THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS WILL PROVIDE A THOROUGH ANSWER KEY TO THE ELECTION OF 1860, OFFERING CLARITY ON THE COMPLEXITIES AND CONSEQUENCES OF THIS HISTORIC CONTEST.

- BACKGROUND AND POLITICAL CONTEXT
- MAIN CANDIDATES AND POLITICAL PARTIES
- KEY ISSUES IN THE ELECTION OF 1860
- ELECTION RESULTS AND VOTING PATTERNS
- IMPACT AND CONSEQUENCES OF THE ELECTION

## BACKGROUND AND POLITICAL CONTEXT

THE ELECTION OF 1860 TOOK PLACE AMID A NATION DEEPLY DIVIDED OVER THE ISSUE OF SLAVERY AND ITS EXPANSION INTO NEW TERRITORIES. THE UNITED STATES WAS EXPERIENCING SIGNIFICANT ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, AND POLITICAL TENSIONS BETWEEN THE NORTHERN AND SOUTHERN STATES. THE MISSOURI COMPROMISE AND THE COMPROMISE OF 1850 HAD TEMPORARILY EASED SECTIONAL DISPUTES, BUT THE KANSAS-NEBRASKA ACT OF 1854 REIGNITED FIERCE DEBATES BY ALLOWING TERRITORIES TO DECIDE THE LEGALITY OF SLAVERY THROUGH POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY. THESE DEVELOPMENTS INTENSIFIED THE BREAKDOWN OF NATIONAL POLITICAL PARTIES AND LED TO THE EMERGENCE OF NEW FACTIONS. THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY SPLIT ALONG REGIONAL LINES, WHILE THE NEWLY FORMED REPUBLICAN PARTY GAINED TRACTION IN THE NORTH WITH AN ANTI-SLAVERY PLATFORM. THIS FRACTURED POLITICAL LANDSCAPE SET THE STAGE FOR THE HIGHLY CONTENTIOUS 1860 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION.

## SECTIONAL DIVISIONS IN THE UNITED STATES

THE SECTIONALISM THAT DEFINED THE ELECTION OF 1860 ANSWER KEY REVOLVED LARGELY AROUND ECONOMIC INTERESTS AND THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY. NORTHERN STATES, WITH THEIR INDUSTRIAL ECONOMIES, GENERALLY OPPOSED THE EXPANSION OF SLAVERY, WHILE SOUTHERN STATES RELIED HEAVILY ON AGRICULTURE AND SLAVE LABOR. THESE DIFFERENCES CREATED IRRECONCILABLE POLITICAL DIVISIONS THAT INFLUENCED VOTER BEHAVIOR AND PARTY ALIGNMENTS. THE GROWING ABOLITIONIST MOVEMENT IN THE NORTH FURTHER ALIENATED SOUTHERN VOTERS, WHO FEARED THE LOSS OF THEIR ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL STRUCTURES. THIS POLARIZATION WAS A CRITICAL FACTOR IN THE ELECTION'S OUTCOME AND THE SUBSEQUENT SECESSION OF SOUTHERN STATES.

## THE BREAKDOWN OF NATIONAL PARTIES

BY 1860, THE LONGSTANDING TWO-PARTY SYSTEM OF DEMOCRATS AND WHIGS HAD LARGELY DISSOLVED. THE WHIG PARTY COLLAPSED UNDER THE STRAIN OF SECTIONAL DISPUTES, AND THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY FRACTURED INTO NORTHERN AND SOUTHERN FACTIONS. THE DEMOCRATIC SPLIT RESULTED IN TWO SEPARATE CANDIDATES FOR PRESIDENT, DILUTING THE PARTY'S VOTE. MEANWHILE, THE REPUBLICAN PARTY EMERGED AS A POWERFUL NEW FORCE, OPPOSING THE SPREAD OF SLAVERY AND APPEALING TO NORTHERN VOTERS. THE FRAGMENTATION OF POLITICAL PARTIES CONTRIBUTED TO A HIGHLY COMPETITIVE AND

COMPLEX ELECTION, REFLECTED IN THE DIVERSE SLATE OF CANDIDATES.

## MAIN CANDIDATES AND POLITICAL PARTIES

THE ELECTION OF 1860 ANSWER KEY INCLUDES AN EXAMINATION OF THE FOUR MAIN CANDIDATES WHO REPRESENTED SHARPLY DIFFERENT VISIONS FOR THE UNITED STATES. EACH CANDIDATE'S PLATFORM AND REGIONAL SUPPORT REFLECTED THE DIVIDED STATE OF THE NATION.

### ABRAHAM LINCOLN – REPUBLICAN PARTY

ABRAHAM LINCOLN, THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE, CAMPAIGNED ON A PLATFORM OPPOSING THE EXPANSION OF SLAVERY INTO THE WESTERN TERRITORIES. ALTHOUGH NOT AN ABOLITIONIST WHO CALLED FOR IMMEDIATE EMANCIPATION, LINCOLN SOUGHT TO PREVENT SLAVERY'S SPREAD, BELIEVING IT THREATENED THE NATION'S UNITY AND MORAL INTEGRITY. HIS MODERATE STANCE AND STRONG SUPPORT IN THE NORTHERN STATES MADE HIM A FORMIDABLE CONTENDER. LINCOLN'S ABILITY TO UNITE DIVERSE FACTIONS WITHIN THE REPUBLICAN PARTY CONTRIBUTED SIGNIFICANTLY TO HIS ELECTORAL SUCCESS.

### STEPHEN A. DOUGLAS – NORTHERN DEMOCRATIC PARTY

STEPHEN A. DOUGLAS, REPRESENTING THE NORTHERN DEMOCRATS, ADVOCATED FOR POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY, ALLOWING TERRITORIES TO DECIDE ON SLAVERY THEMSELVES. DOUGLAS'S STANCE AIMED TO PRESERVE THE UNION BY APPEALING TO MODERATE VOTERS IN BOTH THE NORTH AND BORDER STATES. HOWEVER, HIS POSITION ALIENATED SOUTHERN DEMOCRATS WHO VIEWED POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY AS INSUFFICIENT TO PROTECT SLAVERY. DESPITE HIS NATIONAL RECOGNITION AND PREVIOUS POLITICAL EXPERIENCE, DOUGLAS STRUGGLED TO GAIN WIDESPREAD SUPPORT BEYOND HIS NORTHERN BASE.

### JOHN C. BRECKINRIDGE – SOUTHERN DEMOCRATIC PARTY

JOHN C. BRECKINRIDGE WAS THE CANDIDATE FOR THE SOUTHERN DEMOCRATS, REPRESENTING THE PRO-SLAVERY FACTION OF THE PARTY. HE CALLED FOR THE FEDERAL PROTECTION OF SLAVERY IN ALL TERRITORIES, REFLECTING THE SOUTHERN STATES' DESIRE TO MAINTAIN AND EXPAND THE INSTITUTION. BRECKINRIDGE'S CAMPAIGN DREW STRONG SUPPORT FROM THE DEEP SOUTH BUT FAILED TO APPEAL TO VOTERS OUTSIDE THIS REGION, LIMITING HIS CHANCES OF WINNING THE PRESIDENCY.

### JOHN BELL – CONSTITUTIONAL UNION PARTY

JOHN BELL RAN UNDER THE CONSTITUTIONAL UNION PARTY, A GROUP SEEKING TO AVOID SECESSION AND CIVIL WAR BY EMPHASIZING THE PRESERVATION OF THE UNION AND THE CONSTITUTION WITHOUT TAKING A STRONG STANCE ON SLAVERY. BELL'S PLATFORM APPEALED PRIMARILY TO BORDER STATES AND MODERATE VOTERS WHO FEARED SECTIONAL CONFLICT. ALTHOUGH HE DID NOT WIN ANY ELECTORAL VOTES, BELL'S CANDIDACY DEMONSTRATED THE DEEP DIVISIONS AND UNCERTAINTY GRIPPING THE NATION.

## KEY ISSUES IN THE ELECTION OF 1860

THE ELECTION OF 1860 ANSWER KEY MUST ADDRESS THE CRITICAL ISSUES THAT DOMINATED THE CAMPAIGN AND INFLUENCED VOTERS' DECISIONS. THE FOLLOWING TOPICS WERE CENTRAL TO THE POLITICAL DISCOURSE DURING THE ELECTION CYCLE.

### SLAVERY AND ITS EXPANSION

THE QUESTION OF SLAVERY'S FUTURE IN THE UNITED STATES WAS THE DEFINING ISSUE OF THE 1860 ELECTION. NORTHERN VOTERS LARGELY OPPOSED THE EXTENSION OF SLAVERY INTO NEW STATES AND TERRITORIES, WHILE SOUTHERN VOTERS

SOUGHT TO PROTECT AND EXPAND THE INSTITUTION. THIS FUNDAMENTAL DISAGREEMENT CREATED IRREPARABLE SECTIONAL DIVIDES THAT SHAPED PARTY PLATFORMS AND VOTER ALLEGIANCES. THE ELECTION WAS ESSENTIALLY A REFERENDUM ON HOW THE NATION WOULD HANDLE THE CONTENTIOUS ISSUE OF SLAVERY.

## STATES' RIGHTS AND FEDERAL AUTHORITY

THE BALANCE OF POWER BETWEEN STATE GOVERNMENTS AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WAS ANOTHER CRITICAL CONCERN. SOUTHERN STATES CHAMPIONED STATES' RIGHTS, ESPECIALLY THE RIGHT TO MAINTAIN SLAVERY WITHOUT FEDERAL INTERFERENCE. CONVERSELY, MANY NORTHERN POLITICIANS SUPPORTED STRONGER FEDERAL AUTHORITY TO REGULATE OR RESTRICT SLAVERY'S EXPANSION. THIS TENSION HEIGHTENED FEARS OF FEDERAL OVERREACH AND CONTRIBUTED TO THE SECESSIONIST MOVEMENT FOLLOWING THE ELECTION.

## PRESERVATION OF THE UNION

WHILE SLAVERY WAS THE CENTRAL ISSUE, THE ELECTION ALSO REVOLVED AROUND THE BROADER QUESTION OF WHETHER THE UNITED STATES COULD REMAIN UNITED. SOME CANDIDATES, LIKE JOHN BELL, EMPHASIZED THE IMPORTANCE OF PRESERVING THE UNION ABOVE ALL ELSE. OTHERS, PARTICULARLY IN THE SOUTH, VIEWED LINCOLN'S ELECTION AS A THREAT TO THEIR WAY OF LIFE, PROMPTING CALLS FOR SECESSION. THE ELECTION OF 1860 WAS NOT ONLY A POLITICAL CONTEST BUT ALSO A TEST OF THE NATION'S COHESION.

## ELECTION RESULTS AND VOTING PATTERNS

THE ELECTION OF 1860 ANSWER KEY INCLUDES A DETAILED ANALYSIS OF THE ELECTORAL OUTCOME, WHICH SHOWCASED THE SECTIONAL NATURE OF AMERICAN POLITICS AT THE TIME.

### ELECTORAL VOTE DISTRIBUTION

ABRAHAM LINCOLN WON THE PRESIDENCY BY SECURING A MAJORITY OF THE ELECTORAL VOTES, DESPITE NOT APPEARING ON THE BALLOT IN MANY SOUTHERN STATES. HIS VICTORY WAS LARGELY DUE TO OVERWHELMING SUPPORT IN THE NORTHERN AND WESTERN STATES WHERE THE REPUBLICAN PARTY HAD STRONG INFLUENCE. THE SPLIT BETWEEN NORTHERN AND SOUTHERN DEMOCRATS ALLOWED LINCOLN TO WIN WITH LESS THAN 40% OF THE POPULAR VOTE, ILLUSTRATING THE DIVIDED ELECTORATE.

### POPULAR VOTE BREAKDOWN

THE POPULAR VOTE IN THE ELECTION OF 1860 WAS FRAGMENTED AMONG THE FOUR CANDIDATES, REFLECTING THE FRACTURED POLITICAL LANDSCAPE. LINCOLN RECEIVED APPROXIMATELY 40%, DOUGLAS ABOUT 30%, BRECKINRIDGE AROUND 18%, AND BELL ABOUT 12%. THIS DISTRIBUTION UNDERScoreD THE REGIONAL LOYALTIES AND THE INABILITY OF ANY SINGLE CANDIDATE TO ACHIEVE NATIONAL CONSENSUS.

### REGIONAL VOTING PATTERNS

VOTING WAS SHARPLY DIVIDED ALONG GEOGRAPHIC LINES:

- **NORTH:** PREDOMINANTLY SUPPORTED ABRAHAM LINCOLN AND THE REPUBLICAN PARTY.
- **SOUTH:** STRONGLY BACKED JOHN C. BRECKINRIDGE AND THE SOUTHERN DEMOCRATS.
- **BORDER STATES:** VARIED SUPPORT, WITH JOHN BELL'S CONSTITUTIONAL UNION PARTY GAINING SIGNIFICANT VOTES.

- **MIDWEST:** MIXED SUPPORT BETWEEN LINCOLN AND DOUGLAS, REFLECTING DIVERSE POLITICAL VIEWS.

## IMPACT AND CONSEQUENCES OF THE ELECTION

THE ELECTION OF 1860 ANSWER KEY CONCLUDES WITH AN EXAMINATION OF THE PROFOUND CONSEQUENCES THAT FOLLOWED THE ELECTORAL OUTCOME. LINCOLN'S VICTORY, WHILE LEGALLY VALID AND CONSTITUTIONALLY SOUND, WAS UNACCEPTABLE TO MANY IN THE SOUTH.

## SECESSION OF SOUTHERN STATES

FOLLOWING LINCOLN'S ELECTION, SEVEN SOUTHERN STATES SECEDED FROM THE UNION WITHIN MONTHS, FORMING THE CONFEDERATE STATES OF AMERICA. THE ELECTION WAS PERCEIVED AS A DIRECT THREAT TO THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY AND SOUTHERN POLITICAL POWER. THIS SECESSION TRIGGERED THE CHAIN OF EVENTS LEADING TO THE AMERICAN CIVIL WAR, FUNDAMENTALLY TRANSFORMING THE NATION'S POLITICAL AND SOCIAL LANDSCAPE.

## OUTBREAK OF THE CIVIL WAR

THE ELECTION OF 1860 WAS THE CATALYST FOR THE CIVIL WAR, AS THE DIVISIONS EXPOSED BY THE CAMPAIGN ESCALATED INTO ARMED CONFLICT. THE WAR WOULD LAST FOUR YEARS, RESULTING IN PROFOUND CHANGES TO THE UNITED STATES, INCLUDING THE ABOLITION OF SLAVERY AND THE REDEFINITION OF FEDERAL AND STATE RELATIONS.

## LONG-TERM POLITICAL REALIGNMENT

THE ELECTION MARKED THE BEGINNING OF A POLITICAL REALIGNMENT THAT SHAPED AMERICAN POLITICS FOR DECADES. THE REPUBLICAN PARTY EMERGED AS THE DOMINANT POLITICAL FORCE IN THE NORTH, WHILE THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY STRUGGLED TO REGAIN NATIONAL COHESION. THE SECTIONAL DIVISIONS THAT INFLUENCED THE 1860 ELECTION CONTINUED TO AFFECT POLITICAL DYNAMICS WELL INTO THE RECONSTRUCTION ERA AND BEYOND.

## FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

### WHO WERE THE MAIN CANDIDATES IN THE ELECTION OF 1860?

THE MAIN CANDIDATES WERE ABRAHAM LINCOLN (REPUBLICAN), STEPHEN A. DOUGLAS (NORTHERN DEMOCRAT), JOHN C. BRECKINRIDGE (SOUTHERN DEMOCRAT), AND JOHN BELL (CONSTITUTIONAL UNION).

### WHAT WAS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE ELECTION OF 1860?

THE ELECTION OF 1860 WAS SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE IT LED TO THE SECESSION OF SOUTHERN STATES AND EVENTUALLY TO THE AMERICAN CIVIL WAR.

### WHICH CANDIDATE WON THE ELECTION OF 1860?

ABRAHAM LINCOLN WON THE ELECTION OF 1860.

## WHY WAS THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY SPLIT DURING THE ELECTION OF 1860?

THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY SPLIT OVER DISAGREEMENTS ABOUT SLAVERY, WITH NORTHERN DEMOCRATS SUPPORTING STEPHEN A. DOUGLAS AND SOUTHERN DEMOCRATS SUPPORTING JOHN C. BRECKINRIDGE.

## HOW DID ABRAHAM LINCOLN'S ELECTION IMPACT THE SOUTHERN STATES?

LINCOLN'S ELECTION PROMPTED SOUTHERN STATES TO SECEDE FROM THE UNION BECAUSE THEY FEARED HIS POLICIES WOULD THREATEN THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY.

## WHAT WAS THE PLATFORM OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY IN THE 1860 ELECTION?

THE REPUBLICAN PARTY PLATFORM OPPOSED THE EXPANSION OF SLAVERY INTO THE WESTERN TERRITORIES AND SUPPORTED ECONOMIC MODERNIZATION.

## DID ABRAHAM LINCOLN WIN ANY SOUTHERN STATES IN THE ELECTION OF 1860?

NO, ABRAHAM LINCOLN DID NOT WIN ANY SOUTHERN STATES; HIS SUPPORT WAS PRIMARILY IN THE NORTHERN STATES.

## HOW DID THE ELECTION OF 1860 DEMONSTRATE SECTIONAL DIVISIONS IN THE UNITED STATES?

THE ELECTION RESULTS SHOWED DEEP SECTIONAL DIVISIONS, WITH LINCOLN WINNING THE NORTH, BRECKINRIDGE THE DEEP SOUTH, DOUGLAS THE BORDER STATES, AND BELL THE UPPER SOUTH.

## WHAT ROLE DID THE ELECTION OF 1860 PLAY IN THE START OF THE CIVIL WAR?

THE ELECTION OF 1860 WAS THE CATALYST FOR THE CIVIL WAR, AS SOUTHERN STATES VIEWED LINCOLN'S VICTORY AS A THREAT AND BEGAN SECEDING FROM THE UNION SHORTLY AFTER.

## ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

### 1. *THE ELECTION OF 1860: LINCOLN AND THE COMING OF THE CIVIL WAR*

THIS BOOK PROVIDES A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF THE PIVOTAL 1860 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION THAT LED TO THE SECESSION OF SOUTHERN STATES AND THE ONSET OF THE CIVIL WAR. IT EXPLORES THE POLITICAL CLIMATE, KEY FIGURES, AND THE DIVISIVE ISSUES SURROUNDING SLAVERY AND STATES' RIGHTS. READERS GAIN INSIGHT INTO HOW ABRAHAM LINCOLN'S VICTORY RESHAPED THE NATION'S FUTURE.

### 2. *LINCOLN AND THE ELECTION OF 1860: A NATION DIVIDED*

FOCUSING ON ABRAHAM LINCOLN'S CAMPAIGN, THIS TITLE EXAMINES THE STRATEGIES AND CHALLENGES FACED DURING THE 1860 ELECTION. IT HIGHLIGHTS HOW LINCOLN'S STANCE ON SLAVERY AND UNION PRESERVATION INFLUENCED VOTER SENTIMENT ACROSS THE NORTH AND SOUTH. THE BOOK ALSO DISCUSSES THE FRACTURED POLITICAL PARTIES AND REGIONAL TENSIONS OF THE ERA.

### 3. *THE ROAD TO SECESSION: THE ELECTION OF 1860 AND THE BIRTH OF THE CONFEDERACY*

THIS BOOK DELVES INTO THE AFTERMATH OF THE 1860 ELECTION, DETAILING HOW LINCOLN'S WIN PROMPTED SOUTHERN STATES TO SECEDE FROM THE UNION. IT EXPLAINS THE POLITICAL, SOCIAL, AND ECONOMIC FACTORS THAT LED TO THE BIRTH OF THE CONFEDERACY. THE NARRATIVE CONNECTS ELECTION OUTCOMES TO THE BROADER CAUSES OF THE CIVIL WAR.

### 4. *FOUR CANDIDATES, ONE NATION: THE 1860 PRESIDENTIAL RACE*

OFFERING A DETAILED LOOK AT ALL FOUR MAJOR CANDIDATES—ABRAHAM LINCOLN, STEPHEN DOUGLAS, JOHN BRECKINRIDGE, AND JOHN BELL—THIS BOOK EXPLORES THEIR PLATFORMS AND REGIONAL SUPPORT BASES. IT PROVIDES A BALANCED PERSPECTIVE ON THE COMPLEXITIES OF THE ELECTION AND THE FRAGMENTED STATE OF AMERICAN POLITICS IN 1860.

5. *VOTES AND VOICES: THE 1860 ELECTION AND THE STRUGGLE FOR AMERICA'S FUTURE*

THIS TITLE INVESTIGATES THE ROLE OF VOTERS, POLITICAL PARTIES, AND THE MEDIA DURING THE 1860 ELECTION. IT SHEDS LIGHT ON HOW PUBLIC OPINION AND CAMPAIGNING SHAPED THE ELECTION'S OUTCOME. THE BOOK ALSO ADDRESSES THE IMPACT OF SECTIONALISM AND THE GROWING DIVIDE BETWEEN NORTH AND SOUTH.

6. *PRELUDE TO WAR: THE POLITICAL CRISIS OF 1860*

EXAMINING THE POLITICAL TENSIONS LEADING UP TO THE 1860 ELECTION, THIS BOOK CONTEXTUALIZES THE GROWING CONFLICTS OVER SLAVERY AND FEDERAL AUTHORITY. IT TRACES THE ESCALATION OF SECTIONAL DISPUTES AND HOW THE ELECTION SERVED AS A CATALYST FOR NATIONAL CRISIS. READERS GAIN AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE FRAGILE STATE OF THE UNION BEFORE THE CIVIL WAR.

7. *THE ELECTION OF 1860: AN INTERACTIVE ANSWER KEY FOR STUDENTS*

DESIGNED AS AN EDUCATIONAL RESOURCE, THIS BOOK PROVIDES DETAILED ANSWERS AND EXPLANATIONS FOR QUESTIONS RELATED TO THE 1860 ELECTION. IT SERVES AS A GUIDE FOR STUDENTS TO BETTER UNDERSTAND THE HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE AND KEY EVENTS OF THE ELECTION. THE INTERACTIVE FORMAT ENCOURAGES CRITICAL THINKING AND ANALYSIS.

8. *LINCOLN'S TRIUMPH: THE 1860 ELECTION AND ITS LEGACY*

THIS BOOK HIGHLIGHTS THE SIGNIFICANCE OF LINCOLN'S VICTORY AND THE LASTING IMPACT ON AMERICAN HISTORY. IT DISCUSSES HOW THE ELECTION RESULTS INFLUENCED THE TRAJECTORY TOWARD CIVIL WAR AND THE ABOLITION OF SLAVERY. THE NARRATIVE EMPHASIZES LINCOLN'S LEADERSHIP AND THE CHALLENGES FACED DURING HIS ASCENT TO THE PRESIDENCY.

9. *DIVIDED NATION: THE 1860 ELECTION AND THE ROAD TO CIVIL WAR*

FOCUSING ON THE DEEP DIVISIONS WITHIN THE COUNTRY, THIS BOOK EXPLORES HOW THE 1860 ELECTION EXPOSED AND INTENSIFIED SECTIONAL CONFLICTS. IT ANALYZES THE POLITICAL, SOCIAL, AND ECONOMIC FACTORS THAT MADE THE ELECTION A TURNING POINT IN U.S. HISTORY. THE WORK PROVIDES A DETAILED EXAMINATION OF HOW DEMOCRACY STRUGGLED AMID GROWING DISCORD.

## **The Election Of 1860 Answer Key**

The Election Of 1860 Answer Key

## **Related Articles**

- [the complete to olympus om d e m1](#)
- [the difference between a successful person and others](#)
- [the cuban missile crisis answer key](#)

[Back to Home](#)